

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 5 | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.40 % | 88.47 % | 2 | 92.63 % | 57 | 60 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 90.80 % | 84.50 % | 2 | 86.67 % | 72 | 73 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.86 | 2.61 | 4 | 5.03 | 17 | 12 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 94.29 % | 1 | 88.14 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 84.53 % | 84.09 % | 3 | 76.19 % | 62 | 65 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 96.15 % | 77.32 % | 2 | 81.48 % | 82 | 87 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 42.31 % | 31.18 % | 3 | 45.62 % | 43 | 41 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 95.24 % | 1 | 96.17 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: 4 / 5 | | Nationally: 51 47 | | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$34,761 | \$21,166 | | \$28,750 | 26 Units | 5.90 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,713 | \$9,859 | | \$15,714 | 142 Units | 32.20 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$23,565 | \$24,868 | | \$21,140 | 137 Units | 31.10 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$4,807 | \$6,413 | | \$3,230 | 136 Units | 30.80 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lawton OK

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$64,658 | \$62,068 | \$23,271 |
| State:* | \$65,234 | \$63,360 | \$29,492 |
| National:** | \$103,194 | \$78,960 | \$24,147 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 1.8 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.82

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 50.0 | 45.8 | 61.3 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 50.0 | 26.1 | 29.9 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 34.6 | 35.2 | 23.4 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 3.5 | 35.8 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 30.8 | 39.4 | 13.9 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 19.2 | 28.9 | 13.1 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 2.1 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 3.8 | 14.8 | 9.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 50.0 | 16.2 | 36.5 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 0.7 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 19.7 | 26.3 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 38.5 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 19.2 | 23.9 | 16.8 | 0.0 | Other: | 53.8 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 7.7 | 27.5 | 13.1 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 7.7 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 11.5 | 9.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 3.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 3.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 4 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lawton State: OK Group Rank: 51
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 4 / 0 PJs Overall Rank: 47
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 85.50% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 60.40% | 84.53 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 96.15 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.15% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.980 | 1.69 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2006 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

